Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text, figures, and tables. |
| 2M.01 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2M.01 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising “game hunting” to “wildlife refuge” to better agree with the standard name for these facilities. |
| 2M.02 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2M.02 as presented in the NPA, but recommends adding an exception reference to Section 2M.04 in the Standard to address signs outside recreational and cultural areas, delete “only” in the first sentence of the final Support statement to eliminate confusion that the symbols presented in Table 2M-1 are only to be used within recreational areas and to eliminate confusion with Figures 2M-2, 2M-3 and 2M-4, and revise a section reference in the final Support sentence. |
| 2M.03 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2M.03 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2M.04 |  |  |  | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2M.04 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising references to General Information signs to General Service signs and adding an Option paragraph the allows a brown background for general service symbols for sites located inside a recreational and cultural interest area on all signs located along roads approaching the area for consistency of color. |
| Table 2M-1 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Table 2M-1 as presented in the NPA, but recommends including missing signs from Figure 2M-8:   * Golfing (RS-128) * Wildlife Viewing (RS-076)   NCUTCD recommends reviewing the sign list to determine if signs used primarily in a prohibited context should be included in the table, such as the ones depicted in example D in Figure 2M-1. NCUTCD also recommends FHWA consider adding a brown-on-white version of the D9-3 Camping sign to Chapter 2M. |
| 2M.05 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2M.05 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2M.06 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2M.06 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 2M-1 | NO | YES | N/A | Figure 2M-1 Comments: NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 2M-1 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising the title from “Examples of Use of Arrows, Educational Plaques, and Prohibitive Slashes” to “Examples of Use of Arrows, Educational Plaques, and Prohibited Activities”. NCUTCD notes that the signs in example D are not included in Table 2M-1, and recommends assessing whether these and similar signs used primarily in a prohibited context should be included in this Chapter. |
| 2M.07 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2M.07 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2M.08 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 2M.08 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 2M-2 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2M-2 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 2M-3 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2M-3 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 2M-4 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising Figure 2M-4 as follows:   * Revise the arrow on the Cedar Springs sign in the lower right corner to point to the right * Revise the map in the top center of the figure to depict a horse trail, as the sign is for a horse trail |
| Figure 2M-3 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2M-5 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 2M-6 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising Figure 2M-6 to delete the RS-037 Sleeping Shelter sign, as it is no longer included in Table 2M-1. |
| Figure 2M-7 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising Figure 2M-7 to delete the RS-041 Sanitary Station sign, as it is no longer included in Table 2M-1. |
| Figure 2M-8 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2M-8 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 2M-9 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising Figure 2M-9 to add the RS-059 Surfing sign, as it is included in Table 2M-1. |
| Figure 2M-10 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 2M-10 as presented in the NPA. |
| 2M.09 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2M.09 as presented in the NPA, with minor section number revisions. |
| 2M.10 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 2M.10 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising as follows:   * Revise the Guidance for sign letter height to include the minimum letter height for memorial or dedication signs, as the section reference is incorrect and the corresponding section in the NPA does not include letter height information * Delete the final paragraph as it duplicates the second paragraph * Revise section number references as needed |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |